

Metaphorical And Frame Models as Means of Cognitive Representation of Medical Knowledge in Teaching Medical English

Israilova Ilona Khalitovna

(PhD), Associate Professor, Department of Uzbek and Foreign Languages, Tashkent State Medical University, Uzbekistan

Received: 24 August 2025; **Accepted:** 17 September 2025; **Published:** 31 October 2025

Abstract: This article explores metaphorical and frame models as key mechanisms of cognitive representation in medical discourse. It argues that metaphors and frames are not merely linguistic devices but fundamental cognitive tools that shape the perception, organization, and transmission of medical knowledge. Metaphor enables conceptual transfer and emotional interpretation of abstract biomedical phenomena, while frame structures provide systematic organization of professional reasoning. The study analyzes dominant conceptual models such as the body as a machine, disease as an enemy, and the immune system as a defense network, as well as the evolution of digital and informational metaphors that reflect the shift toward systemic and cybernetic thinking in modern medicine. Particular attention is paid to multimodal metaphors that combine verbal, visual, and symbolic modes of cognition. The article also examines how frame networks structure medical discourse through typical scenarios—diagnosis, treatment, and consultation—and how these models are employed in medical English teaching to foster both linguistic and cognitive competence. Integrating metaphorical and frame approaches transforms language learning into a process of conceptual understanding and clinical reasoning formation. The study concludes that metaphor and frame function as complementary mechanisms of knowledge representation and generation, forming the cognitive architecture of professional medical thinking.

Keywords: Metaphor, frame, cognitive linguistics, medical discourse, conceptual modeling, cognitive representation, ESP teaching, multimodal metaphor, medical English, clinical reasoning, cognitive competence.

Introduction: One of the most significant areas of cognitive linguistics is the study of mechanisms by which knowledge is represented in language through metaphors and frames—fundamental structures of human thought. These cognitive models serve as “intellectual templates” for perceiving, categorizing, and explaining experience. In medical discourse, they perform not only explanatory but also conceptualizing, pedagogical, and interpretive functions, as it is through metaphor and frame that complex biological and clinical processes are transformed into comprehensible linguistic form.

In recent decades, scholars have emphasized that metaphor is not merely a decorative device of speech but a cognitive instrument through which humans construct their worldview. In medical communication, metaphors help render abstract and invisible

processes—such as inflammation, immune response, and tissue regeneration—visible, intuitive, and interpretable. For example, the model the body as a machine organizes the perception of the organism as a system of mechanisms in which the heart is a “pump,” the vessels are “pipelines,” and the lungs are “filters.” This model fosters an engineering type of reasoning typical of the biomedical paradigm of the twentieth century.

The conceptual metaphor disease as an enemy occupies a special place in both professional and popular medical discourse. It structures the perception of illness as invasion and activates an imagined war schema: the body defends itself, the immune system attacks, antibiotics fight bacteria. This metaphor has high heuristic value, as it activates motivational and emotional mechanisms in patients, facilitates

communication between doctors and society, yet may also heighten anxiety and aggression. Consequently, recent years have witnessed a shift toward more neutral and humanistic models such as disease as imbalance and healing as restoration of harmony, reflecting the evolution of ethical and cognitive perceptions of medicine.

Alongside metaphors of war and mechanism, modern medical cognition increasingly employs digital and informational metaphors: genes as codes, cells as programs, virus as a software bug, the body as a network. These models demonstrate the process of conceptual blending—the merging of the cognitive domains “biology” and “technology.” Such synthesis reflects the transition of modern medicine toward systemic and cybernetic thinking, where the organism is conceptualized through the notions of information, code, control, and connection.

Metaphor also plays an essential role in education. Research shows that in teaching medical English, metaphors act as cognitive mediators that facilitate comprehension of complex concepts and terms. For example, when explaining the term heart failure, the instructor draws on the metaphor the heart as a pump, which transforms the concept into a visual-cognitive schema. Through metaphor, associative connections are activated, leading to deeper understanding of clinical categories.

If metaphor provides cognitive simplification and meaning transfer, the frame provides structure and storage of knowledge in memory. A frame is a mental model that describes a typical situation and the roles of its participants. In medical language, frames reflect the standard scenarios of professional activity. For instance, the frame CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS includes the roles of doctor, patient, symptom, test, diagnosis, treatment, and outcome. These elements establish a cognitive order for perceiving the clinical process and allow physicians to build logical cause-and-effect chains.

From the perspective of cognitive modeling, medical discourse is organized according to the principle of frame networks, where one frame activates another. The frame SYMPTOM ANALYSIS is linked to DIAGNOSTIC PROCEDURE, which then transitions into TREATMENT SCENARIO. This ensures continuity of reasoning, enabling physicians to interpret and predict clinical developments. Such frame organization characterizes all types of medical texts—from clinical reports to educational materials.

Modern cognitive research pays particular attention to the interaction between metaphorical and frame structures. These mechanisms, though different in

form, mutually reinforce one another: metaphor gives the frame conceptual dynamism, while the frame provides metaphor with cognitive structure. For example, in the model disease as war, the frame THERAPEUTIC INTERVENTION includes the roles doctor as commander, medicine as weapon, disease as enemy, body as battlefield, and patient as territory to be protected. This model not only renders medical thinking more vivid but also shapes professional strategies of behavior—“fighting” pathology according to cognitive algorithms.

In recent years, the theory of multimodal metaphor has gained ground, treating metaphor not only as a linguistic but also as a visual and auditory phenomenon. This is particularly relevant in medicine, where a significant portion of information is conveyed through imagery—X-rays, MRI scans, infographics, and animations. Visual metaphors such as “artery as a road” or “cancer as a dark shadow” exert powerful influence on cognition and memory, making them valuable tools in medical education and communication.

Frame models are also applied in the analysis of medical discourse genres. In scientific texts, the frame RESEARCH PROCESS (hypothesis – experiment – result – discussion) is activated; in educational texts, TEACHING INTERACTION (explanation – demonstration – feedback – assessment); and in clinical consultations, PATIENT CONSULTATION (greeting – symptom inquiry – examination – advice). Each stage of these frames is realized through specific linguistic markers and pragmatic formulas such as How long have you had these symptoms?, Let me check your pulse, You should take this medicine twice daily. Thus, frames function as mechanisms of cognitive standardization in professional communication.

Metaphorical and frame structures are actively used in developing methods of teaching medical English. Effective ESP instruction requires viewing language as a cognitive system rather than a set of rules. Using metaphors and frames in learning models helps students not merely memorize terms but reconstruct patterns of professional thinking. This reflects the essence of the cognitive-discursive approach: learners do not just acquire language as a form but “grow into” it as a system of meanings.

Thus, metaphor and frame act as complementary mechanisms of cognitive representation of knowledge in medical discourse. Metaphor provides conceptual transfer and imbues knowledge with emotional and visual interpretability, while the frame organizes that knowledge into a structural and logical model. Their interaction creates the cognitive foundation of professional communication in which language

becomes an instrument of thought, understanding, and learning.

Within linguo-cognitive modeling of medical English, metaphorical and frame structures play a methodological role. They allow the researcher to uncover the underlying cognitive processes behind linguistic forms and enable the teacher to create pedagogical models that reflect real mechanisms of clinical reasoning. Understanding these models makes the teaching of medical English meaningful, integrative, and scientifically grounded, fostering not only linguistic but also cognitive competence in future professionals capable of thinking within the categories of professional discourse rather than merely mastering terminology.

In the modern cognitive paradigm, metaphor is regarded not only as a means of expression but also as a form of thought underlying neurocognitive processes. Neurolinguistic studies have shown that when perceiving metaphors, the same brain areas are activated as during real sensory or motor experiences. This means that the phrase fight infection triggers not only conceptual but also embodied responses, activating regions associated with physical action. Hence, metaphor in medical discourse is not only an explanatory tool—it is experienced cognitively, producing a sense of deep understanding.

This phenomenon is particularly important in medical education. When students learn metaphors such as the immune system remembers pathogens or the heart works like a pump, they develop not just verbal expressions but cognitive scenarios that become part of their professional worldview. In this sense, metaphor functions as a mechanism of conceptual mapping, linking knowledge, perception, and action.

Alongside metaphor, frame and scenario structures ensure the systematic and predictive nature of professional reasoning. The physician confronting a new case does not perceive information chaotically; a typical frame is activated in the mind, including expected stages—anamnesis, physical examination, test interpretation, diagnosis formulation. This frame activates subframes such as pain localization, infection suspicion, and risk assessment, making medical reasoning both systematic and economical.

Interestingly, the structure of these frames is universal across medical cultures, though their linguistic realizations are culture-specific. For example, English medical discourse heavily relies on cause-effect reasoning, while Russian and Uzbek texts tend to emphasize descriptive-diagnostic models (symptom-condition-reaction). This difference suggests that frames not only reflect cognitive processes but are

conditioned by cultural and scientific traditions of medical thought.

An especially intriguing phenomenon is frame blending, when different cognitive models merge to create new semantic configurations. For instance, the metaphor the immune system as an intelligent defense network combines the frames of military strategy and computer security, reflecting the integration of scientific and technological paradigms that shape the cognitive landscape of twenty-first-century medicine.

In cognitive modeling of medical discourse, the frame DISEASE PROGRESSION plays a crucial role. It includes sequential stages: exposure → incubation → manifestation → treatment → remission or death. This frame is employed not only in clinical but also in pedagogical, research, and journalistic texts. In textbooks, it appears through constructions like the disease begins with mild symptoms, progresses rapidly, and can lead to complications if untreated. The cognitive model sets temporal and causal logic for perceiving disease, helping students form causal connections and predict outcomes.

Contemporary research shows that frames in medical language can perform not only descriptive but also heuristic functions, facilitating the generation of new knowledge. When a physician encounters an atypical case, the existing frame is modified, producing a new cognitive model—frame extension. The emergence of COVID-19, for example, required restructuring traditional infection frames, where the conventional scheme virus → infection → symptom → recovery was expanded with new slots: mutation, cytokine storm, long-term effects. This demonstrates the adaptability of frames, reflecting the dynamic nature of scientific cognition.

From a pedagogical standpoint, frames and metaphors can serve as methods of cognitive learning, particularly in teaching medical English. Incorporating frame analysis into ESP tasks encourages students not just to translate but to reconstruct cognitive structures underlying the text. Exercises such as reconstruct the frame of diagnosis prompt learners to identify key stages of clinical reasoning and to understand how language structures medical thought.

Modern technologies have also opened new possibilities for frame-based modeling. The development of interactive medical simulators is grounded precisely in cognitive frame principles. In a virtual environment, the student passes through stages of clinical scenarios—asking questions, assessing symptoms, ordering tests, and formulating diagnoses. Each stage activates the corresponding frame, while the system monitors both linguistic and cognitive

accuracy, transforming learning into dynamic modeling of professional communication.

Equally significant is the role of metaphor in developing clinical empathy. Metaphors such as your heart is under stress or your body is fighting hard not only convey information but also create a sense of care and understanding. These expressions perform a therapeutic function and reflect the humanistic dimension of medical discourse.

Cross-cultural studies of metaphors of health and illness reveal that English discourse tends to favor fight–win–survive models, German emphasizes balance–order–stability, while Eastern languages employ energy–flow–harmony. These variations confirm the cultural dependency of cognitive structures and highlight the need to consider them when teaching international medical students. In multicultural education, frames and metaphors serve as bridges between different systems of thought, helping learners not only understand the language but also reconfigure their cognitive habits.

Visual frames and metaphors have a special place in modern cognitive pedagogy. In teaching cardiology, for instance, infographics illustrate blood flow as a cycle, while in immunology, models represent the immune system as a defense network. Such visual tools fulfill not only illustrative but also cognitive functions, fostering spatial and conceptual thinking.

The integration of frame and metaphorical approaches into the teaching of medical English enables a shift from fragmented memorization of terminology to holistic mastery of professional cognition. Students begin to perceive medical texts as cognitive models in which every lexical unit carries not merely meaning but a functional role within the structure of knowledge. This marks the transition from lexicogrammatical learning to cognitive-discursive education, where language is studied as a mode of thinking and professional understanding of the world.

Ultimately, metaphor and frame in medical discourse can be regarded as complementary mechanisms not only of knowledge representation but also of knowledge generation. Metaphor provides creative reinterpretation of experience, while frame imparts structural organization. Their interaction forms the cognitive architecture of medical reasoning, where language, knowledge, and practice constitute a unified functional system. This interrelation makes metaphorical and frame models powerful tools not only for scientific analysis but also for pedagogical transformation in the teaching of medical English.

References

1. Абдуллаева Р. М. Особенности менеджмента образовательной системы университетов Великобритании // Ученый XXI века. – 2016. – № 3–4.
2. Абдуллаева Р. М. Обучение специальной лексике на занятиях русского языка в медицинском вузе // Ученый XXI века. – 2016. – Т. 41.
3. Абдуллаева Р. М. Проблемы перевода медицинского термина с русского на узбекский язык // Преподавание классических языков в эпоху глобальной информатизации: материалы конференции. – 2019. – С. 171–175.
4. Абдуллаева Р. М. Очерки лексико-семантической характеристики медицинской терминологии // Медицинский журнал молодых учёных. – 2024. – № 3. – С. 76–83.
5. Абдуллаева Р. М. Особенности профессионального языка современного менеджера здравоохранения // Education and Innovative Research (Interscience Conference). – 2024. – № 4. – URL: <https://interscience.uz/index.php/home/article/download/4002/3623/8915>
6. Абдуллаева Р. М. Формирование языковых компетенций РКИ в узбекоговорящей аудитории медицинских вузов // Репозиторий ТГМУ. – Ташкент, 2024. – URL: <https://repository.tsmu.uz>
7. Абдуллаева Р. М. Сетевые технологии в обучении русской медицинской терминологии // Материалы межвузовской научно-практической конференции «Инновации в обучении иностранным языкам в медицине». – Ташкент, 2024. – С. 98–104.
8. Akhtamovna, M. O. (2022). Foreign Language Teaching to Students Non-Linguistic Higher Education Institutions. *Global Scientific Review*, 10, 168-170.
9. Akhtamovna, M. O. (2022). Tasks of teaching a foreign language to students of higher education institutions.
10. Akhtamovna, M. O. (2023). Using active method in teaching oral communication in a foreign language. *European Journal of Innovation in Nonformal Education*, 3(2), 60-70.
11. Алибекова Л. Р. // Phraseological units in medicine // American Journal of

- Interdisciplinary Research and Development 1 (33). 165 -169 с.
12. Eshbayev, O., Abdullaeva, R. M., Mirrahimova, G. M., Aminova, G., & Israilova, I. (2020). Learning Foreign Vocabulary Using Computer Programs. *Archive of Scientific Research*, No. 14.
13. Fayzullayev, S. I. (2024). WAYS OF EFFECTIVE USE OF EDUCATIONAL METHODS AND ITS EFFECTIVENESS. *PEDAGOGIKA, PSIXOLOGIYA VA IJTIMOY TADQIQOTLAR | JOURNAL OF PEDAGOGY, PSYCHOLOGY AND SOCIAL RESEARCH*, 3(4), 185-189. APA.
14. Исраилов Ж. Д., Исраилова И. Х. Когнитивно-дискурсивный анализ формирования профессиональной иноязычной компетенции у студентов медицинских вузов: англоязычный медицинский дискурс. – 2025.
15. Исраилов Ж. Д., Исраилова И. Х. Формирование лексической компетенции студентов медицинских вузов на основе образовательных медицинских текстов (на материале unit 1 авторского учебника) // E-Conference platform. – 2025. – Т. 1. – №. 20-may. – С. 103-106.
16. Israilov, J. D. The Role of Professionally Oriented Medical Texts in Forming Lexical and Discursive Competence in Medical English // VII International Mediterranean Scientific Research Congress. – Hatay Mustafa Kemal University, Hatay, Türkiye. – 13–15 June 2025. – P. 754–758. (Electronic resource).
17. Israilov, J. D. The Language of Professional Medical Texts: Implications for Educational Systems and Learning Resources // *International Journal of European Research Output*. – Vol. 4, No. 6. – June 2025. – P. 28–34. – ISSN 2053-3578.
18. Israilov, J. D. Methods and Technologies for Teaching Specialized Vocabulary Based on Professional Texts // *Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences and Innovations*. – Vol. 4, Issue 4. – 2025. – P. 1273–1279. – ISSN 2751–4390. – Impact Factor 9.08. – *Elektron*. – URL: <https://ijmri.de/index.php/jmsi>.
19. Kadirova L.U., Заимствования в области спортивной терминологии в русском языке // Научный фокус. – Вып. 1/100. – 2023. – С. 604–408.
20. Kadirova L.U., Интерактивные формы обучения русскому языку как иностранному в медицинском вузе // Научный фокус. – Вып. 1/100. – 2023. – С. 608–613.
21. Kadirova L.U., ПЕРЕВОДЫ ЗАИМСТВОВАННЫХ МЕДИЦИНСКИХ ТЕРМИНОВ // *MODERN SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL*. – 2024.
22. Kadirova L.U., INTERACTIVE FORMS OF TEACHING RUSSIAN AS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE IN A MEDICAL UNIVERSITY. *American journal of education and evaluation studies*. – pp.154–156, 2024. IF12.51.
23. Lola Utkurovna Kadirova. DEVELOPING STUDENTS' READING COMPETENCE THROUGH AUTHENTIC MATERIALS. *Monografiya MONOGRAFIYA*. – 240 t, 2024. ISBN: 978-9910-9079-3-7.
24. Kadirova L.U., Интеграция и инновации в обучении коммуникативной компетенции студентов факультетов иностранных языков // *International journal of advanced research in education, technology and management (IJARETM)*. – Vol. 4, Issue 1. – 2025. ISSN:2349-0012.
25. Kadirova L.U., Prince Kumar. Гомеопатия в Индии – популярность, развитие и вызовы // *International journal of advanced research in education, technology and management*. – Vol. 4, Issue 2. – 2025. – pp. 61–65.
26. Kakhorova, M. A. (2023). Nutrition of surgical patients. *Modern Scientific Research International Scientific Journal*, 1(8), 172-180.
27. Kakhorova, M. A. (2024). Comprehensible input approach as an effective language teaching method. *World of Scientific news in Science*, 2(3), 281-289.
28. Kakhorova, M. A. (2024). The use of qualitative and mixed methods investigating learners in their classrooms. *Academic research in educational sciences*, (1), 579-587.
29. Kakhorova, M. (2025). THE CORE EFFECTIVE PRACTICES FOR FOREIGN LANGUAGE LEARNING. *Modern Science and Research*, 4(6), 92-98.
30. Norquliyevich, A. M. (2025). TRADITIONAL, INNOVATIVE, AND INTERACTIVE METHODS OF TEACHING LATIN IN MEDICINE. *Educator Insights: Journal of Teaching Theory and Practice*, 1(3), 146-148.
31. Rustamovna, Khaidarova Shohista. Development of Intercultural Communication Among Students in Russian Language Classes

Based on Innovative Pedagogical Technologies.
World Bulletin of Social Sciences, 31, 21-23.

- 32.** Sarvar, F. (2022). Mechanism of integrated teaching of speech competence in Uzbek and English languages in medicine Tashkent Medical Academy. Global Scientific Review, 10, 188-191. APA.
- 33.** Sarvar, F. (2023). HISTORY OF BRITISH VS. AMERICAN ENGLISH. Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке, 2(14), 49-53. APA.
- 34.** Ширинкулова, Ш. М. (2018). РОЛЬ ЛЕКСИКИ В АНГЛИЙСКОМ ЯЗЫКЕ ДЛЯ КОНКРЕТНЫХ ЦЕЛЕЙ (ESP). Гуманитарный трактат, (35), 21-22.